

Area	Assessment			Comments
	Excellent (Met)	Fair (N/A)	Poor (Not Met)	
Abstract (10)	Abstract is structured using required subheadings. Word count is 150-250. Keywords (3-10) are identified. Information related to each subheading is presented concisely and appropriately.	Abstract is structured using required subheadings. Word count is 150-250. Keywords (3-10) are identified. Information related to each subheading lacks details, pertinence. Presentation is verbose.	Abstract is unstructured, exceeds word count, or lacks keywords. Information pertinent to any of the required subheadings is missing.	
Introduction (15)	A brief introduction of the research topic is presented. Epidemiological information, prognosis, and management options are thoroughly detailed, with appropriate citations of public health data and other supporting facts. Authors' opinions are not expressed without evidence.	The clinical research topic is not clearly defined. Information on incidence/prevalence, risk factors, prognosis, or management are not developed. Factual information is not cited. Opinions are expressly stated.	Multiple counts of plagiarism in the context of uncited information are found (this is a violation of the student honor code). Derogatory opinions are expressed related to the clinical topic or its management.	
Methods (10)	This section provides a step-by-step, detailed description of search and selection criteria. The total number of articles reviewed is clearly stated. Methodology is described to the extent where it can be easily duplicated.	The section lacks step-by-step details or information regarding search terms and inclusion/exclusion criteria. The total number of articles reviewed is not clearly stated. Methodology cannot easily be duplicated.	Methodology cannot be duplicated due to a lack of search information. The total number of articles reviewed is unknown. Exclusion/inclusion criteria are not document or cannot be substantiated.	

Results (10)	A summary of the selected literature is provided, including detailed analysis of common themes. Articles are grouped and integrated. Research hierarchy is considered. Gaps in the available evidence are noted.	The summary of literature lacks detail or development. Articles are reviewed with minimal integration. Research hierarchy is not considered or mentioned. Gaps in the available evidence are not discussed.	Fundamental literature is not included. Articles are reviewed individually without any integration. Research hierarchy is not considered or mentioned. Gaps in the available evidence are not discussed.	
Discussion (25)	Critical analysis of the literature review is finalized. Authors' recommendations related to evidence-informed chiropractic management of the clinical research topic are stated. Clinical applications of the evidence are explored in the context of a proposed hypothesis related to human anatomy, pathophysiology, and models of subluxation correction. References are utilized and documented appropriately, including in-text citations.	Critical analysis of the literature review is finalized. Authors' recommendations related to evidence-informed chiropractic management of the clinical research topic are not clearly stated. Clinical applications of the evidence are not fully explored in the context of a proposed hypothesis related to human anatomy, pathophysiology, and models of subluxation correction. References are not utilized and documented appropriately, including problems with in-text citations.	Critical analysis of the literature review is lacking. Authors' recommendations related to evidence-informed chiropractic management of the clinical research topic are not stated. Clinical applications of the evidence are not explored in the context of a proposed hypothesis related to human anatomy, pathophysiology, and models of subluxation correction. References are not utilized and documented appropriately.	
Conclusion (10)	Final authors' recommendations related to patient management and future directions of research are clearly stated and well-developed.	Final authors' recommendations related to patient management and future directions of research are stated but lack detail or development.	Final authors' recommendations related to patient management or future directions of research are not stated.	